

Coordination of the European Future Internet Forum of Member States



D5.5(c) - Future Internet Award

Kieran Sullivan

Document Number	D5.5 (c)
Document Title	Future Internet Award
Version	1.0
Status	Final
Work Package	WP5
Deliverable Type	Other
Responsible Partner	WIT
Dissemination level	PU



Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	3
2.	Judging Process	3
2.1.	Judging Panel	4
3.	Entries	4
4.	The Winner.....	5
5.	Award at FIA, Poznan	5
Appendix A.	Application Form	6
Appendix B.	Scoring Card	11
Appendix C.	Photographs from Award Ceremony	14

1. Introduction

The ‘Future Internet Award’, supported and organised by the ceFIMS Coordination Action, is an opportunity for European, national and regional Future Internet initiatives to promote their work. Initiatives can take the form of innovative products and services that will shape the Future Internet. The award is awarded every six months (in line with the FIA) to the initiative that is adjudged to have the greatest potential to advance the Future Internet and which provides an exemplar for innovative products/services.

Member States and individual projects were invited to submit entries by means of an application form (Appendix A)

This report gives details on the running of the Award that was presented at Poznan

2. Judging Process

Entries were adjudicated on the basis of the following criteria:

- Innovative use of technologies
- Inclusion of local entities, citizens, communities
- Universal usability and access
- Contribution towards reducing the Digital Divide
- Involvement and contacts with industry
- Societal impacts
- Environmentally friendly
- Strategic relevance and pilot implementations in place
- Excellence in themed areas and/or cross-domain
- Cross-regional and/or cross-national

2.1. Judging Panel

An independent Judging Panel scored the entries in a two-round process. The members of the Judging Panel were:

- Chair: Mr. **Lambert van Nistelrooij**, MEP
- Mr. **Joan Batlle i Montserrat**, Barcelona City Council, Municipal Institute of Information Technology
- Mr. **Danny Goderis**, Vice President Alcatel-Lucent Bell Labs Benelux
- Mr. **Martin Przewloka**, Head of Future Applications & Services Practice, SAP
- Mr. **Nicolas Demassieux**, Orange-FT Group
- Mr. **Robert Szabo**, Budapest University of Technology and Economics
- Mr. **Adam Grzech**, Faculty of Informatics and Management, Wroclaw University of Technology

The judges were asked to judge each entry using a scoring card which was provided by the ceFIMS Secretariat (Appendix B)

3. Entries

18 entries were received as follows:

1. I-Beds
2. Mindenki
3. Visuland
4. Grandparents/children
5. Risc-Expert
6. RAIC
7. Creative Selector
8. Zonerider
9. G-Lab
10. T-City Szolnok
11. UMA
12. Webinos
13. IRMOS
14. NOBEL
15. NOR-STA
16. Trilogy
17. Mains
18. Future-Comm

4. The Winner

The winner of the Award was **The Trilogy Project** (Trilogy - Architecting the Future Internet)

www.trilogy-project.org

The Trilogy project focuss on the key control functions of the Internet, in particular Reachability and Resource Control. The project's results include architecture, protocols, simulations, prototypes and standardisation at the IETF. Their bold objective was to re-architect the world's ICT infrastructure. They believe they have succeeded: in particular by developing two new, important control functions that will be deployed widely on the future Internet and will significantly improve it. These are Multipath TCP and CONEX. Economic thinking has been central to their work and has strongly influenced their protocols. They have also been influenced by real measurements that were performed on the Net. The Trilogy project has also successfully invested a lot of effort on standardising their work at the IETF. They believe that these factors greatly increase the chances that their work will see real deployment and so change the world. Already some parts are in use and industry outside Trilogy is working on implementations.

5. Award at FIA, Poznan

The Award was presented during the closing ceremony of the FIA in Poznan. The Award ceremony was introduced by Mr. Mario Campolargo and the Award presented to **Mr. Phil Eardley of British Telecom** representing Trilogy by a representative of the Judging Panel, Mr. **Robert Szabo** from the Budapest University of Technology and Economics. Photographs taken during the Award presentation are included in Appendix C.

Appendix A. Application Form

Future Internet Award *Announcement*



Introduction

The ‘**Future Internet Award**’, supported and organised by the ceFIMS Coordination Action, is an opportunity for European national and regional Future Internet initiatives to promote their work, and in particular their results. Initiatives can take the form of innovative products and services that will shape the Future Internet. The award will be presented every six months to the initiative that is adjudged to have the greatest potential to advance the Future Internet and whose results provide an exemplar for innovate products/services.

Member States and individual projects are invited to submit entries by describing in the attached application form the innovation in their work (What makes it innovative: product, service, process, etc.?).

Criteria

Entries will be adjudicated on the basis of the following criteria:

- Implementations in place
- Involvement and contacts with industry & SMEs
- Innovate use of technologies
- Inclusion of local entities, citizens, communities
- Universal usability and access
- Contribution towards reducing the Digital Divide
- Societal impacts
- Environmentally friendly
- Excellence in themed areas and/or cross-domain
- Cross-regional and/or cross-national



Entries are invited from projects and initiatives that have recently delivered final results, as well as those which are currently running.

Adjudication

A Judging Panel comprising representatives from research, industry and public agencies expert in the FI domain will judge the entries, based on the information contained in the attached application form. Further information may be sought before a final decision is made. The Award will be given to the best FI initiative in the opinion of the judges.

The winning FI initiative will be able to use the award for promotional purposes. The award will be a crystal glass vase inscribed with the location and date of the award.

Further details at: www.cefims.eu/fiaward/



	FUTURE INTERNET AWARD APPLICATION FORM	
---	---	---

COMPLETED APPLICATION FORMS (MAX 3 PAGES) SHOULD BE E-MAILED TO CEFIMS PROJECT MANAGER KIERAN SULLIVAN (KSULLIVAN@TSSG.ORG) BY 5.00PM, FRIDAY 16TH SEPTEMBER, 2011

PROPOSERS MAY SUBMIT SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION BEYOND THE 3 PAGE LIMIT - HOWEVER THIS MAY NOT BE TAKEN IN ACCOUNT IN THE ADJUDICATION PROCESS

IMPORTANT: ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED SHOULD BE PUBLICALLY AVAILABLE - PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT SENSITIVE OR CONFIDENTIAL DATA, AS INFORMATION ABOUT THE INITIATIVE WILL BE PUBLICISED AND INCLUDED ON THE CEFIMS WEBSITE

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

Project name:	
Project website:	
Project coordinator name:	
Contact details: (email, postal address, telephone)	
Nominator name: (if different from coordinator)	

KEY INFORMATION

Proposed project start (month/year):	
Planned completion date (month/year):	
Duration (months):	

KEYWORDS:

e.g. science, technology, health, learning, business, government, media, culture, social, entertainment, etc.



PROJECT ABSTRACT (MAXIMUM 10 LINES):

PROJECT OBJECTIVES (MAXIMUM 3 BULLETS):

-
-
-

PROJECT HIGHLIGHTS:

e.g. describe what is innovative in your initiative; implementations in place; involvement and contacts with industry & SMEs; innovate use of technologies; environmentally friendly; excellence in themed areas and/or cross-domain; cross-regional and/or cross-national; etc.

EXPECTED IMPACT:

e.g. promising technologies; products/services close to market or high potential for market uptake; contribution towards reducing the Digital Divide; societal impacts, universal usability and access, contribution in the member state, region etc.



INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF ADVANCED INTERNET TECHNOLOGY:

e.g. IPv6 aware (particularly in real case settings)

INVOLVED CONSTITUENCY:

Describe the partnership and how it works e.g. end-users, public bodies (Local Authorities), industrial partners, SMEs, researchers/academia, funding agencies, citizen representative bodies, etc. Highlight any other regions / member states involved.

TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET:

Total budget: funding mechanism (e.g. EU: National Public: National Private: Other)

Appendix B. Scoring Card

Future Internet Award



Scoring Card

Judges are asked to score applications under three main categories: **Scientific & Technological excellence; Impact; Overall**. These categories reflect the published criterion for the Award. Each criterion is scored to a maximum of 5.0, according to the scale shown below. *Note that half-point (0.5) scores may be given.*

Score	Comment	Explanation
0	<i>Very poor</i>	Fails to address criterion
1	<i>Poor</i>	Criterion addressed weakly
2	<i>Fair</i>	Addresses criterion, but there are significant weakness
3	<i>Good</i>	Addresses criterion, but improvements are necessary
4	<i>Very good</i>	Criterion addressed very well, but improvements still possible
5	<i>Excellent</i>	Addresses all criterion - any shortcomings are minor

Please return completed Scoring Card to:

ksullivan@tssg.org

PROJECT NAME

<i>Acronym</i>	
----------------	--

EVALUATION

<p>1. SCIENTIFIC & TECHNOLOGICAL EXCELLENCE</p> <p>Consider the following:</p> <p>(A) What is the project doing and is this work innovative?</p> <p>(B) Is the issue which the project addresses relevant?</p> <p>(C) Is it a regional, national or EU-wide issue? i.e. is the project transnational and/or scalable?</p>	<p>Mark</p> <p>(max. 5.0)</p>
<p>Comments:</p>	

<p>2. IMPACT</p> <p>Consider the following:</p> <p>(A) What are the results (new knowledge, standards, new/improved products/services, etc.) and do they match to the project summary?</p> <p>(B) Are there direct social benefits arising from the project?</p> <p>(C) Has the project translated research to economic impact i.e. real exploitation?</p>	<p>Mark</p> <p>(max. 5.0)</p>
<p>Comments:</p>	



<p>3. OVERALL</p> <p>Consider the following:</p> <p>(A) Are the project and its results described in concrete, specific terms?</p> <p>(B) Is there an industry/SME connection? Has there been commercial deployment?</p> <p>(C) Has the project clearly identified who will benefit from its results?</p>	<p>Mark (max. 5.0)</p>
<p>Comments:</p>	

4. ADDITIONAL REMARKS	<u>CUMULATIVE SCORE</u> <i>(Sum total from above)</i>
<p>Overall Comments:</p>	

Appendix C. Photographs from Award Ceremony



Photograph 1: Presenting the Award to Mr. Phil Eardley of The Trilogy Project. Included is Mr. Robert Szabo of the Award Judging Panel.



Photograph 2: (l-r) Mr. Phil Eardley of The Trilogy Project and Mr. Willie Donnelly of the ceFIMS project.